

AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENTS IN THE YEAR FOLLOWING
HIGH SCHOOL : THE PREDICTIVE VALUE OF 377
UNOBTRUSIVE VARIABLES

NOVEMBER 1969 - NUMBER 33




BY

WILLIAM ASHER AND
BEVERLY DODSON

JHRP

JOINT HIGHWAY RESEARCH PROJECT
PURDUE UNIVERSITY AND
INDIANA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2011 with funding from

LYRASIS members and Sloan Foundation; Indiana Department of Transportation

Progress Report

AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENTS IN THE YEAR
FOLLOWING HIGH SCHOOL: THE PREDICTIVE
VALUE OF 377 UNOBTRUSIVE VARIABLES

TO: J. F. McLaughlin, Director
Joint Highway Research Project

FROM: H. L. Michael, Associate Director
Joint Highway Research Project

Memorandum 10-10-60

File No. 10-5-11

Project No. 10-16-59.1

The attached Progress Report "Automobile Accidents in the Year Following High School: the Predictive Value of 377 Unobtrusive Variables" is submitted as the first report on the special research project approved by the JHRP Board on the relationship between education to highway safety. The research is being conducted by Professor William Asher, Departments of Education and Psychology and Miss Beverly Dodson, Research Assistant.

Additional research is in progress on the Indian students in the sample and will be reported at a later date.

The Report is presented to the Board for information and for the record.

Respectfully submitted,

Harold L. Michael
Harold L. Michael
Associate Director

HLM/rg

cc: F. L. Ashbaucher
W. L. Dolch
W. R. Goetz
W. L. Grecco
G. K. Hallock
M. E. Harr

R. H. Harrell
J. A. Havers
V. L. Harvey
F. B. Mendenhall
R. D. Miles

C. F. Scholer
M. B. Scott
W. T. Spencer
H. R. J. Walsh
L. B. Woods
E. J. Yoder

Progress Report

AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENTS IN THE YEAR
FOLLOWING HIGH SCHOOL: THE PREDICTIVE
VALUE OF 377 UNOBTUSIVE VARIABLES

by

William Asher
Professor of Education and Psychology

and

Beverly Douson
Research Assistant

Indian Highway Research Project

File No. 8-5-11
Project No. C-36-59K

Purdue University
Lafayette, Indiana
November 18, 1969

AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENTS IN THE YEAR FOLLOWING HIGH SCHOOL:
THE PREDICTIVE VALUE OF 377 UNOBTUSIVE VARIABLES

ABSTRACT

Automobile accidents in the year following high school were studied for 377 social-psychological variables in a U.S. sample of 7,800. Forty of these variables were for males and 34 for the females were found to be predictive of accidents. These tended to be variables correlated with the socio-economic-education dimension, high accident rates, and poor socialization in school. Driver training courses did not reduce accidents. Higher socio-economic-education status make driver training. Indiana's high school students are very similar to the students in the rest of the United States.

INTRODUCTION

Automobile accidents are a major problem of our society, and youngsters in their latter teens are at a particularly vulnerable age for these catastrophes. Thus analysis, understanding, and development of theory about automobile accidents for this age group is a desirable, particularly if it can be done in a way that is not too costly.

Project TALENT (Talent Acquisition and Learning Information) collected some 500 variables on a sample of 425,000 high school seniors in the United States in 1960. When young men, they collected additional information on each child, and when young women, they were scheduled to graduate from high school on average five years following their scheduled graduation. At the time for those concerned with reducing accidents in these age groups, TALENT's first follow up study asked a question about accidents during the past year. Unfortunately, TALENT had no funds to analyze this portion of the data and, even worse, the study had to drop this question from further following studies. Death, suffering, and damage continue yearly when the expenditure for research of the cost of one major accident per year might well have gained enough insights to repay the research expenses hundreds or even thousands of times.

Meanwhile eight years of invaluable data have not been collected and seven years passed before the first writer, using funds from the U. S. Office of Education for training undergraduate educational researchers, was able to buy some data from

the bank for analysis. One wonders if society 1) is really interested in the problem of automobile accidents, and 2) is even somewhat rational.

Further statements, literature reviews, and theory about the importance now of the driver as the cause of most accidents, the ineffectiveness of driver education, the psychological problem of conditioning accident criteria, and the need for a national data bank of the first data bank in 1960.

This paper reports the analysis of the remaining variables in the TALENT Data Bank particularly with respect to accidents, driver training, and the Indiana sample.

PROBLEM

The data used in this study were received from Project TALENT (Finnagan, et al, 1964) a widely publicized research project supported by the Office of Education. Project TALENT offers data on about 400,000 high school students reasonably representative of a U. S. sample, who underwent a two-day period of paper and pencil testing in the spring of 1960. Some variables have been made available by the data bank (1965), including the results of numerous aptitude and achievement tests, an interest inventory, a student activities inventory, and a rather extensive student information blank.

The criterion variable, whether or not the subjects had had a significant accident in the past year, was obtained from a

METHOD

A Project MENT Flanagan, et al., 1955) sample of male and female subjects who were seniors in high schools during 1965 was selected. The criterion for grouping was variable #1 on the wealth-grade nine year Hollman et al., 1955) scale which in 1965 was revised to include the following categories: 1. High (above 100), 2. Middle (50-100), 3. Low (below 50). The sample was drawn from the 1965 yearbook of each school containing bodily injury or death statistics for the age group 16-19. One hundred percent of the schools responded "yes" to the above question. The sample was divided into an "accident group" and a "control group" consisting of a sample of those students who reported having no accidents during the past year. The sample for the accident group consisted of 193 subjects. The sample for the control group consisted of 796 subjects. In the accident group there were 113 girls and 80 boys. Thus the total sample of 796 consisted of 403 girls and 393 boys.

Three hundred seventy-seven (377) variables from the Project MENT Data Bank (Flanagan, et al., 1955) were used in addition to the criterion variable of having or not having an accident in the past year. Such variables included the results of information tests in literature, science, mechanics, and home economics. Numerous aptitude and achievement test results were included in the areas of language, creativity,

abstract, reasoning, visualization in two and three dimensions, mathematics, and clerical checking. Items from the Student Information Plans (1978) furnished data on the students' background and their school and study habits, perceived financial situation, students' health, plans for marriage, college, and career. See Wilkagan et al. (1978, pp. 16 to 5-35) for all the 111 items and their numbers. Items with three were the responses to the 5-point Likert-type scale (SSE) items and all other items were 1-point items.

Twelve additional items were chosen for the questionnaire, but, before these questions could be run on the SSE variables, several convergent problems had to be solved. Examination of similar items from the Student Information Plans (Wilkagan, et al., 1978, pp. 16 to 5-35) revealed that on some items, responses were not coded in a single dimensionality. For example, question 173 in item:

Please make the best estimate you can of your family's total income for last year (1979). Include money earned by both parents and all the else in the household and the money.

- A. Less than \$1,000
- B. \$1,000 to \$4,999
- C. \$5,000 to \$9,999
- D. \$10,000 to \$11,999
- E. \$12,000 or more
- F. I can't estimate this.

The responses A through F were coded 1 through 6. Obviously response F was not a component of the continuum established in responses A through E. Consequently, in order that correlation analysis would be meaningful, response F was deleted. Also,

in all items. It was necessary to delete codings that indicated that the student made no response to the item.

The possible responses for item #296 from the SIB were "Do you take driver training in school?" with the following

- 1. Yes
- 2. No, this course is not available
- 3. No, although the course is available
- 4. No, but I expect to take the course in the future

The responses to this question were dichotomized. The researcher felt that a comparison of responses "A" and "B" would be most meaningful. Consequently responses "B" and "C" were combined. (Since the subjects in our study were aged 13-14, there were only a negligible number who responded "D.") Therefore the comparison of response "B" with response "A" had been assigned with respect to consistency in a prior study (Fisher & Dodd, 1979). Furthermore TALINT items from the SIB could not be used in a correlational analysis, because responses to the items were not amenable to rank order arrangement. An example is SIB #401, in which the student is given a list of 36 alphabetically arranged occupations and asked to mark the one he expects to take as career. This was the major reason for the restriction of the some 100 remaining TALINT variables to the number analyzed (378). In order to control for sex differences, data from boys and girls were run separately.

ANALYSIS

As has indicated, the most convenient means to reduce this rather large quantity of data, a matrix of order 378×7990 , was via missing data product moment correlations using the BMD 03D program (1964). With only minor losses of information the unidimensional aspects of the coding were retained. Each standard factor correlation, the r value, and the peak material variance were retained. These three values of interest, obtained from a computer program specifically designed for this purpose, were entered into the correlation matrix computed. All of these correlations of each factor were then distributed across and to be selected and arranged in columns of the Guilford Table of Table 2 as used in Table 1. The significance of each of the correlations is the first level of analysis.

RESULTS

Of the 377 variables analyzed, only about 50 variables of the males showed significant correlations with the accident criterion, ten of these at the one percent level. For the females 34 variables were related to accidents, eight at the one percent level. Both of these sets of results are considerably greater than chance expectations; however, the magnitude of the relationships is not great. It is obvious that our hypothesization was better than we might have anticipated when we selected the first 100 or so variables from the TALENT Data Bank for study. In

Table 1^a

Significant Correlates With Accidents

(1 = non-accident group 2 = accident group)

Project TALENT Data Bank Variable		Correlation with Criterion Variable	
Number	Variable	Male	Female
Student Information			
Blank Number			
23	Mechanical or auto repair (1 = very often 5 = never)	-.115 n = 4270	-.079 n = 3416
31	Playing golf or tennis; swimming (1 = very often 5 = never)		-.083* n = 3442
46	Other (summer) work for pay (1 = very often 5 = never)	-.032* n = 4277	
47	Percent of spending money from: regular allowance (1 = 0 percent 5 = 100 percent)	-.067 n = 4285	
51	Age at first date (1 = 12 or younger 6 = never dated)	-.083 n = 4219	-.100* n = 3389
55	Evenings a week you go out for fun and recreation during the school year. (1 = less than one 6 = six or seven)	.075 n = 4203	.076 n = 3367
68	My grades reflect my ability fairly accurately. (1 = almost never 5 = almost always)	-.066 n = 4190	
69	I make sure that I understand what I am doing before I start an assignment (1 = almost never 5 = almost always)	-.062 n = 4209	-.062 n = 3373

Table 1 (contd)

Project TALENT Data Bank Variable Number	Variable	Correlation with Criterion Variable	
		Male	Female
Student Information Blank Number			
71	Lack of interest in my school work makes it difficult for me to keep my attention on what I am doing. (1 = almost always 5 = almost never)	-.087* n = 4204	-.074 n = 3376
73	Failure to pay attention in class has caused my marks to be lower. (1 = almost always 5 = almost never)	-.069 n = 4206	
75	I do my assignments so quickly that I don't do my best work. (1 = almost always 5 = almost never)	-.073 n = 4194	-.063 n = 3380
76	I have missed assignments... because I was not paying attention (1 = almost always 5 = almost never)	-.095* n = 4204	
77	My teachers have criticized me for turning in a sloppy assignment. (1 = almost always 5 = almost never)	-.079 n = 4206	
78	Unless I really like a course, I do only enough to get by. (1 = almost always 5 = almost never)	-.087* n = 4203	
80	In class I can't seem to keep my mind on what the teacher is saying. (1 = almost always 5 = almost never)	-.072 n = 4220	
81	I get behind in my school assignments. (1 = almost always 5 = almost never)	-.079 n = 4219	-.065 n = 3388

Table 1 (contd)

Project TALENT Data Bank Variable Number		Variable	Correlation with Criterion Variable	
			Male	Female
Student Information				
Blank Number				
88	I keep up to date on my assignments by doing my work every day. (1 = almost never 6 = almost always)	-.075 n = 4151	-.070 n = 3348	
96	How many days were you absent from school in the last school year? (1 = none 6 = twenty or more days)		.072 n = 3348	
108	My grades in foreign language have been: (1 = all A's 6 = mostly D's or below)	.062 n = 2939	.062 n = 2431	
109	My grades in history and social studies courses have been: (1 = all A's 6 = mostly D's or below)		.062 n = 3323	
110	My grades in English courses have been: (1 = all A's 6 = mostly D's or below)	.066 n = 4115		
113	My grades in all courses starting with ninth grade have been: (1 = all A's 6 = mostly D's or below)	.072 n = 4091		
133	Father's responsibility for money and property on his job (1 = not in charge of money or property 4 = a great deal of money, merchandise or property)	.062 n = 3055	.075 n = 2228	
142	Father's activity in a business or professional association (1 = extremely active 6 = not a member)	+.068 n = 3954	-.066 n = 3136	

Table 1 (contd)

Project TALENT Data Bank Variable Number	Variable	Correlation with Criterion Variable	
		Male	Female
170	Building in which you live (1 = a one family house 5 = a rooming house, motel, or trailer)		-.072 n = 3179
171	If your family is renting, how much is rent per month? (1 = less than \$60 6 = have bought or are buying a home)	.073 n = 4051	
172	Value of present home (if bought or is buying): (1 = under \$6,000 5 = more than \$12,000)	.081* n = 3311	
173	Family's total income for last year (1959). (1 = less than \$3,000 5 = \$12,000 or more)	.074 n = 3262	.080 n = 1905
180	Number of mechanics, electronics, aviation or automobile magazines received regularly at home? (1 = none 6 = five or more)	.072 n = 4156	
190	Number of the following in home: automatic washer, automatic clothes dryer, electric dishwasher, electric or gas refrigerator, vacuum cleaner, home food freezer (1 = none 6 = five or six)	.077 n = 4190	.110* n = 3370
191	Number of the following in home: telephone, television set, radio, phonograph (1 = none 5 = four)	.063 n = 4170	.068 n = 3362

Table 1 (contd)

Project TALENT Data Bank Variable Number	Variable	Correlation with Criterion Variable	
		Male	Female
Student Information			
Blank Number			
193	Number of the following in home: musical instruments, hi-fi or stereophonic set, classical records art equipment, photo developing equipment. (1 = none 6 = five)		.075 n = 3354
194	Number of the following in home: tennis racket, golf clubs, hunting equipment, skis, fishing equipment. (1 = none 6 = five)	.095* n = 4182	.090* n = 3373
196	How many hand tools are in your home? (1 = five or less 6 = 26 or more)	.067 n = 4181	.069 n = 3352
197	How many electrically operated power tools are in your home? (1 = none 6 = five or more)	.065 n = 4179	.072 n = 3358
225	Number of rooms in home (1 = one 12 = seventeen or more)	.077 n = 4100	.089 n = 3346
230	How many athletic teams have you been a member of in the last 3 years? (1 = none 12 = eleven or more)		.069 n = 3351
241	How many different times have you been sick in bed in the past year? (1 = none 6 = nine or more)		.070 n = 3371
246	Average number hours of sleep per night (1 = about six or less 6 = about eleven or more)	-.069 n = 4078	

Table 1 (contd)

Project TALENT Data Bank Variable Number		Correlation with Criterion Variable: Male Female	
Variable			
Student Information			
Blank Number			
247	How late up on weekends (1 = 9 P.M. or earlier 6 = 2 A.M. or later)	.093* n = 4064	.084* n = 3357
259	Normal use of both legs (1 = yes 2 = no)	-.073 n = 4076	
294	Average number of times a week driven car during past school year? (1 = none 6 = ten or more)	.140* n = 3923	.213* n = 3263
295	Do you have a car of your own? (1 = yes 2 = no)	-.079 n = 3945	-.114 n = 3283
313	Reasons for attending college: I enjoy learning. (1 = extremely important 6 = not a reason)	.075 n = 3723	
385	Percent of college expenses from loans from family, friends (1 = 0 percent 6 = 100 percent)		-.064 n = 1905
393	Where do you expect to live while attending college? (1 = at home with my family 2 = in a dormitory)		-.067 n = 1451
Follow-up #35	Access to a car	.266* n = 4420	.320* n = 3538

Table 1 (contd)

Project TALENT Data Bank Variable Number	Variable	Correlation with Criterion Variable	
		Male	Female
P * 801	Socio-economic-education index	.088 n = 4254	.101* n = 3422
Information Test:			
R-112	Mechanics	.102* n = 4360	
R-235	English: Effective expression		.071 n = 3503
R-260	Creativity		.062 n = 3475

^aThe variables can be operationally defined by referring to Planagan, et al (1965) The Project TALENT Data Bank for tests names and Planagan, et al (1964) pages 5-6 to 5-35 for the Student Information Blank (SIB) items.

* Variables significant at the .01 level or beyond.

Table 2

Significant Correlates With Driver Training

(1 = yes vs. 3 = No, but course is available)

Project TALENT Data Bank Variable Number	Variable	Correlation with Criterion Variables	
		Male	Female
12 grade Follow-up #30	Accidents (1 = non-accident group 2 = accident group) (not significant)	-.009 n = 3928	-.053 n = 3271
Student Information Blank			
10	Military or drill units (1 = extremely active 6 = not a member)	-.070 n = 3832	
38	How often delivered newspapers, mowed lawns, baby-sitted, done house cleaning, etc. for pay? (1 = very often 5 = never)		.063 n = 3213
94	Age at starting first grade (1 = 4 years or younger 6 = nine years or older)	.077 n = 2993	
100	Semesters Social Studies (1 = none 6 = five or more)		-.065 n = 2499
136	Father's highest rank in military. (1 = enlisted 3 = officer)		.097 n = 520
163	Parents speak Hebrew or Yiddish (1 = very fluently 6 = doesn't speak)	.104* n = 2978	.113* n = 2489
170	Building in which you live (1 = one family house 5 = rooming house, hotel, or trailer)		-.092* n = 2384

Table 2 (contd)

Project TALENT Data Bank Variable Number		Correlation with Criterion Variables	
	Variable	Male	Female
Student Information			
Blank			
292	Age at first learning to drive (1 = 12 or younger 2 = 13 or older)	-.074 n = 2862	-.101* n = 2127
385	Loans for college expenses from other sources (family, friends) (1 = 0 percent 2 = 100 percent)	.067 n = 1700	
391	How far from home is the college you expect to attend? (1 = within commuting distance 4 = more than 50 miles)	.079 n = 1414	
393	Where do you expect to live while attending college? (1 = at home with my family 2 = in dormitory)	.053 n = 1250	
Information Test			
R-105	Social Studies	-.070 n = 2996	
R-139	Accounting, business, sales	-.088* n = 2956	

Table 3

Significant Correlates With Indiana And Non-Indiana

(Indiana = 1 N t Indiana = 2)

Project TALENT Data Bank Variable Number	Variable	Correlation with Criterion Variable	
		Male	Female
Student Information Blank Number			
27	Playing baseball, football or basketball (1 = very often 5 = never)		-.081* n = 3432
98	Semesters Science Courses (1 = none 6 = 5 or more)	.066 n = 4289	
99	Semesters foreign language (1 = none 6 = 5 or more)	.064 n = 4188	
102	Semesters business or commercial courses (1 = none 6 = 5 or more)		-.077 n = 3349
103	Voc. shop or Ag. courses (1 = none 6 = 5 or more)	-.091* n = 4169	
172	Value of home (1 = under \$6,000 5 = more than \$22,000)	.069 n = 3311	
201	Number brothers or sisters who are high school drop-outs (2 = none 6 = 4 or more)	-.070 n = 3671	
230	Number athletic teams (1 = none 12 = 11 or more)		.091 n = 3351
232	What do you expect to do about military service? (2 = quit high school and enlist 11 = never serve for other reasons)		-.137 n = 85

Table 3 (c ntd.)

Significant Correlates with Indiana and Non-Indiana

(Indiana = 1 Not Indiana = 0)

Project TALENT Data Bank Variable Number	Variable	Correlation with Criterion Variable	
		Male	Female
393	Where do you expect to live while attending college? (1 = home 2 = dormitory)		-.063* n = 1451
Information Test			
R-103	Literature	.064 n = 4362	

CONCLUSIONS

1. With the study of the significant correlation of a number of accidents, it is to seem reasonable to conclude that human variables are the most important factor in the causation of accidents.
2. One of the most important findings of this study is the fact that the majority of accidents are caused by human error. This is in agreement with the findings of other studies.
3. The study also indicates that the majority of accidents are caused by human error. This is in agreement with the findings of other studies.
4. The study also indicates that the majority of accidents are caused by human error. This is in agreement with the findings of other studies.
5. The study also indicates that the majority of accidents are caused by human error. This is in agreement with the findings of other studies.
6. The study also indicates that the majority of accidents are caused by human error. This is in agreement with the findings of other studies.
7. The study also indicates that the majority of accidents are caused by human error. This is in agreement with the findings of other studies.
8. The study also indicates that the majority of accidents are caused by human error. This is in agreement with the findings of other studies.
9. The study also indicates that the majority of accidents are caused by human error. This is in agreement with the findings of other studies.
10. The study also indicates that the majority of accidents are caused by human error. This is in agreement with the findings of other studies.



8. Not being well acclimated in school is a predictor of accidents. Not paying attention, sloppy assignments, and inattention in class are variables defining this lack of school acclimatization.
9. Driver training courses tend to be taken by those of higher socio-economic-educational status.
10. Indiana high school students are very similar to most of the high school students in the United States.
11. Indiana's high school students take driver training as frequently as students in the United States and have accidents with equal frequency.

STAFF

John, J. ...
 William ...
 ...

Dir. J. J. ...
 ...

Memorandum ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

Memorandum ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

Garfield, J. ...
 ...
 ...

Webb, E. J., ...
 ...
 ...
 ...



